

06/26/97

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY
June 26, 1997

MOTION DOCKET

96-2247. State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins. In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that intervenor, Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Ohio file with the Clerk of this court on or before July 1, 1997, the following documents for in camera review:

(1) Unredacted attachments to the July 30, 1996 letter from Climaco, Climaco, Seminatore, Lefkowitz & Garofoli Co., L.P.A., to Robert H. Katz, Ohio Department of Insurance, in response to June 27, 1996 letter from David S. Meyer, Ohio Department of Insurance, including the following:

(a) December 12, 1995, letter from Climaco, Climaco, Seminatore, Lefkowitz & Garofoli Co., L.P.A., to David Colby of Columbia/HCA Health Care Corporation, in unredacted form;

(b) January 22, 1996 letter to Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson to Climaco, Climaco, Seminatore, Lefkowitz & Garofoli Co., L.P.A., in unredacted form;

(c) March 7, 1996 memo attached to July 30, 1996 letter, in unredacted form;

(d) March 19, 1996 memo from Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson to Climaco, Climaco, Seminatore, Lefkowitz & Garofoli Co., L.P.A., including any attachments or enclosures, in unredacted form.

(2) July 31, 1996 letter from Douglas A. Andrews to Robert H. Katz, in unredacted form.

(3) All attachments or enclosures, in unredacted form, to the July 31, 1996 letter from Douglas A.

Andrews to Robert H. Katz.

97-887. Barnett v. Ohio Adult Parole Auth.

Ross App. No. 96CA2240. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Ross County. Upon consideration of appellees' motion to withdraw motion to strike or dismiss appellant's notice of appeal filed June 16, 1997,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to withdraw motion to strike or dismiss be, and hereby is, granted.

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

In re Report of the Commission :
on Continuing Legal Education. : 1997 TERM

Lester Rushin III :
(#0037566), : E N T R Y
Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1993-1994 reporting period.

On August 12, 1996, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

On June 4, 1997, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On June 4, 1997, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Lester Rushin III, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.