## SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

## COLUMBUS

## ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
December 23, 1997

# MOTION DOCKET

96-2634. Robinson v. B.O.C. Group, Gen. Motors Corp. Trumbull App. No. 96-T-5419. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Trumbull County. Upon consideration of appellee's motion for continuance of oral argument,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for continuance of oral argument be, and hereby is, denied.

96-2778. Robinson v. B.O.C. Group, Gen. Motors Corp. Trumbull App. No. 96-T-5419. This cause is pending before the court on the certification of conflict by the Court of Appeals for Trumbull County. Upon consideration of appellee's motion for continuance of oral argument,

 $\,$  IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for continuance of oral argument be, and hereby is, denied.

97-1231. State ex rel. Tubbs Jones v. Suster.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of the motion for amigus guries. Also David

consideration of the motion for amicus curiae, Alan Davis, Executor of the Estate of Samuel Holmes Sheppard, to participate in oral argument,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to participate in oral argument be, and hereby is, granted, and the amicus curiae shall share the time allotted to respondents.

97-2507. Perkins v. Wilkinson Sword, Inc.
Certified Question of State Law, No. 964144. This cause is pending before the court on the certification of a state law question from the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. On December 15, 1997, Howard F. Voight, an attorney admitted to practice in Ohio, filed a motion for admission pro hac vice of Carl A. Eck as counsel for respondents. It appearing to the court that Howard F. Voight is not currently registered for active status with the Clerk, as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. I(2)(B),

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the motion for admission pro hac vice be, and hereby is, stricken.

# MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

96-1875. Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.

Public Utilities Commission, Nos. 94-1964-EL-CSS, 95-1139-EL-COI, 95-1140-EL-COI, 95-299-EL-AIR and 95-300-EL-AIR. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Upon consideration of the application of appellant, city of Cleveland, for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

Resnick and Cook, JJ., not participating.