## THE STATE OF OHIO, APPELLEE, v. SMITH, APPELLANT. [Cite as State v. Smith, 1996-Ohio-79.]

Criminal law—Drug offenses—R.C. 2925.14(H) does not violate the due process or equal protection provisions of the Ohio and United States Constitutions.

(No. 95-1183—Submitted June 5, 1996—Decided July 3, 1996.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Washington County, No. 94 CA 21.

Robert J. Smith, for appellee.

David H. Bodiker, Ohio Public Defender.

J. Michael Westfall, Assistant Public Defender, and Janet A. Fogle, Washington County Public Defender, for appellant.

{¶ 1} The judgment of the court of appeals, upholding the constitutionality of R.C. 2925.14(H), is affirmed on the authority of *State v. Thompkins* (1996), 75 Ohio St.3d 558, \_\_\_ N.E.2d \_\_\_.

MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER, COOK and STRATTON, JJ., concur.