

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

SUPPLEMENTAL ANNOUNCEMENT

1:00 P.M. WEDNESDAY  
May 29, 1996

MOTION DOCKET

95-675, 95-677, 95-686 and 95-843. State ex rel. Cincinnati Enquirer v. Hamilton Cty.

In Mandamus. In our opinion in this cause dated March 6, 1996, we stated, sua sponte, 75 Ohio St.3d 374, at 379-380, \_\_\_ N.E.2d \_\_\_, at \_\_\_:

"\*\*\*Relators' counsel are instructed to submit bills and documentation in support of their requests for attorney fees, in accordance with the guidelines in DR 2-106."

It appearing that relators had not requested attorney fees and that relators do not intend to submit documentation of such fees, the court strikes the above order granting relators leave to submit bills and documentation.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer and Cook, JJ., concur.

Stratton, J., not participating.

96-677. State v. Smith.

Butler County, Court of Common Pleas, No. CR95050471. On motion for release of evidence from record. Motion granted. Appellant must specifically demonstrate on or before July 1, 1996 why photographs would be an inadequate substitute for any particular item of evidence. Otherwise, on July 29, 1996, the evidence in the four exhibits will be released to the probate court for distribution to the heirs after photographs have been substituted for the evidence.