

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
June 25, 1996

MOTION DOCKET

94-1964. State v. Garner.

Hamilton County, No. C-920864. UPON CONSIDERATION of the motion filed by counsel for appellant to stay the execution of sentence in the above-styled cause,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion be, and is hereby, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that, pursuant to State v. Glenn (1987), 33 Ohio St.3d 601, 514 N.E.2d 869, a stay is granted for a period of six months, beginning April 25, 1996, and ending October 25, 1996, to allow appellant an opportunity to file a petition for post-conviction relief. If a petition for post-conviction relief is not filed within the time allotted, this stay will expire. No further time for the filing of the petition will be granted except in unusual circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that, if a petition for post-conviction relief is filed within the time allotted, a date-stamped copy of the petition shall be filed by appellant with the Clerk of this court, and this stay shall remain in effect until exhaustion of all state post-conviction proceedings, including any appeals.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that compliance with the mandate and execution of sentence be, and is hereby, stayed for the six-month period allotted by this order and, if a petition for post-conviction relief is filed within the time allotted, pending the exhaustion of all proceedings for post-conviction relief before the courts of this state.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for the appellant and for the appellee shall notify this court when all proceedings for post-conviction relief before courts of this state have been exhausted.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

95-2574. Gannett v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.

This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

96-611. Montpelier Pub. Library v. Williams Cty. Budget Comm.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 86-F-1282 and 88-F-838. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

96-1126. Kuhn v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Commrs.

Hamilton County, No. C-940328. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and as a claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of the joint application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.