

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY
September 13, 1996

MERIT DOCKET

96-2020. State ex rel. Berman v. Russo.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition and was considered in a manner prescribed by law. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Stratton, JJ., concur.

Resnick, J., dissents and would grant an alternative writ.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

96-1394. Pennsylvania Lumbermens Ins. Corp. v. Landmark Elec., Inc. Montgomery County, No. 15199. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. Upon consideration of the joint application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

96-1836. State ex rel. Kish v. Ohio Univ.

Franklin County, No. 95APD09-1205. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of the joint application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

96-2035. State ex rel. Hogan v. Maloney.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

2

09/13/96