

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

WEDNESDAY
October 23, 1996

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

96-1642. State v. Bogle.

Montgomery App. No. 14774. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and a claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due October 18, 1996, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

96-1985. Herdendorf v. Erie Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 95-T-854. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellants' application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

96-2182. Walkley & Kennedy Co. v. Paluch.

Summit App. No. 17679. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On September 26, 1996, when the appeal was filed, a check in the amount of \$40 was submitted by William H. Paluch to satisfy the requirement of the docket fee imposed by R.C. 2503.17 and S.Ct.Prac.R. XV(1). This court has been informed by the Office of the Treasurer of the state of Ohio that the check was returned from National City Bank for the reason that the subject account was closed. Whereas R.C. 2503.17 and S.Ct.Prac.R. XV(1) require that the docket fee shall be paid before a notice of appeal is filed or a case is docketed,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

