

1 The State of Ohio, Appellee, v. Coleman, Appellant.

2 [Cite as *State v. Coleman* (1996), \_\_\_\_ Ohio St.3d \_\_\_\_.]

3 *Appellate procedure -- Application for reopening appeal from*  
4 *judgment and conviction based on claim of ineffective*  
5 *assistance of appellate counsel -- Application denied when*  
6 *applicant fails to allege any substantive grounds for relief*  
7 *indicating that appellate counsel was ineffective.*

8 (No. 95-2492 -- Submitted March 5, 1996 -- Decided May 15, 1996.)

9 Appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County, No.

10 94APA10-1558.

11 In September 1994, appellant, Byron S. Coleman, was convicted of  
12 aggravated robbery and two counts of having a weapon under a disability  
13 with specifications. Appellant was thereafter sentenced to a term of  
14 imprisonment. Upon appeal, the convictions were affirmed. *State v.*  
15 *Coleman* (May 30, 1995), Franklin App. No. 94APA10-1558, unreported.  
16 Appellant's appeal to this court was dismissed. *State v. Coleman* (1995),  
17 case No. 95-1389.

18 Subsequently, appellant filed an application for reopening before the  
19 court of appeals pursuant to App. R. 26(B), alleging ineffective assistance

1 of appellate counsel. The court of appeals denied the application, finding  
2 that appellant did not allege any substantive grounds for relief indicating  
3 that appellate counsel was ineffective. Appellant appeals that denial to this  
4 court.

5

---

6 *Michael Miller*, Franklin County Prosecuting Attorney, and *Katherine*  
7 *Press*, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellee.

8 *Byron S. Coleman*, *pro se*.

9

---

10 *Per Curiam*. We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals for the  
11 reasons stated in its memorandum decision.

12 *Judgment affirmed.*

13 MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER and COOK,  
14 JJ., concur.

15 WRIGHT, J., not participating.