### ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA, REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR 1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY March 9, 1995

MERIT DOCKET

94-2407 and 94-2420. State ex rel. Optimum Technology, Inc. v. Fisher. DLZ Corp v. Fisher. In Mandamus and Prohibition. On motion to dismiss counterclaim of intervenor; motion to dismiss counterclaim of intervenor by DLZ Corp. et al.; motion to dismiss on suggestion of mootness by Attorney General; amended motion for protective order and to quash subpoena by James Conrad; motion to stay proceedings and discovery pending ruling on suggestion of mootness by Attorney General; motion to dismiss counterclaim of intervenor by Optimum Technology, Inc.; motion to dismiss counterclaim of intervenor; amended motion for protective order and to quash subpoena of James Conrad filed by James Conrad; motion to stay proceedings and discovery pending ruling on suggestion of mootness; and motion to dismiss on suggestion of mootness.

Motions to dismiss by Attorney General granted and all other motions denied as moot.

Causes dismissed.

Douglas, Wright, Resnick and Cook, JJ., concur. Moyer, C.J., F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., dissent.

#### SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

### COLUMBUS

### ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY March 9, 1995

### MOTION DOCKET

95-391. State ex rel. Liposchak v. Indus. Comm. In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's motion for issuance of an alternative writ,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause shall be expedited and no oral argument shall be scheduled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that an alternative writ be, and hereby is, granted, and the following briefing schedule is set for presentation of evidence and filing of briefs pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. X:

The parties shall file any evidence they intend to present on or before March 23, 1995; relator shall file his brief within seven days after the filing of evidence; and respondents shall file their brief(s) within seven days after the filing of relator's brief; and relator shall file his reply brief, if any, within three days after the filing of respondents' brief(s).

Wright and Cook, JJ., dissent and would dismiss the cause.

### SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

# COLUMBUS

### ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY March 10, 1995

## ADMINISTRATIVE DOCKET

1. The Ohio Board of Bar Examiners Policy on Applicants with Disabilities was approved.