

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY
October 27, 1995

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

In re Report of the Commission : 1995 TERM
on Continuing Legal Education. :
: To wit: October 26, 1995
Gary Steven Adams :
(#0038289), : ENTRY
Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov. Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On August 11, 1995, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

On October 2, 1995, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov. Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Gary Steven Adams, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

In re Report of the Commission : 1995 TERM

on Continuing Legal Education. :
: To wit: October 26, 1995
Robert Paul DeMarco :
(#0031530), : ENTRY
Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On August 11, 1995, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

On October 4, 1995, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Robert Paul DeMarco, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

In re Report of the Commission : 1995 TERM
on Continuing Legal Education. :
: To wit: October 26, 1995
Charles Blaise Lazzaro :
(#0022281), : ENTRY
Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On August 11, 1995, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

On September 26, 1995, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance

with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Charles Blaise Lazzaro, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

In re Report of the Commission : 1995 TERM
on Continuing Legal Education. :
: To wit: October 26, 1995
Susan Marie Lutz :
(#0038277), : ENTRY
Respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov. Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On August 11, 1995, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov. Bar R. X(7).

On October 4, 1995, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Susan Marie Lutz, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.