

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY
September 28, 1995

MOTION DOCKET

90-338. State v. Richey.
Putnam County, No. 12-87-2. On motion to clarify court order entered April 14, 1994, or to continue stay and extension for filing post-conviction petition. Motion to clarify or to continue stay denied.
Resnick, J., dissents.

95-1803. State ex rel. The Cincinnati Post v. Cincinnati.
In Mandamus. On motion for protective order and on motion for stay of further proceedings related to respondent's motion for judgment on pleadings. Motion for stay granted and motion for protective order denied.

Wright, J., would grant the stay only.

Resnick, J., would grant an alternative writ, thereby denying all other motions.

DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

94-1576. In re Resignation of Clauder.
On response to contempt order. Contempt order dismissed.

94-2701. Disciplinary Counsel v. Pagac.
On motion for order to show cause why George B. Sofranko, Jr. should not be held in contempt, motion to strike (dismiss) motion to show cause and, motion for sanctions. Motion to strike granted.

Wright, J., not participating.

95-1843. In re Neal.
Pursuant to the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(3), Jerry Allen Neal of Dublin, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0062633, is indefinitely suspended from the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

Wright, J., not participating.

95-1844. In re Pugh.

Pursuant to the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(3), Terry Paul Pugh of Columbus, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0022942, is indefinitely suspended from the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

95-1851. In re Resignation of Weinberger.

The resignation as an attorney of Barrett N. Weinberger of Cincinnati, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0043744, is accepted.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Wright, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer and Cook, JJ., concur.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

95-1203. State ex rel. McCann v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin County, No. 94APD04-508. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of the joint application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted, effective September 26, 1995.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.