

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
September 26, 1995

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

95-1068. Montpelier Pub. Library Bd. of Trustees v. Williams Cty. Budget Comm. Board of Tax Appeals, No. 92-K-1173. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal and cross-appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellee/cross-appellant, Board of Trustees, Montpelier Public Library, has not filed a merit brief, due September 20, 1995, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, effective September 25, 1995, that the cross-appeal of board of Trustees, Montpelier Public Library, be, and hereby is, dismissed.

The appeal of Bryan Public Library shall remain pending.

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

1995 TERM

In re Report of the Commission :
on Continuing Legal Education. : September 26, 1996

Louis Harry Kistler, Jr.
(#0021340),
Respondent. : E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the reporting period of 1992-1993.

On March 24, 1995, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(1), this court issued to the respondent an order to show cause why the recommended sanction should not be adopted by the court and an order so entered against the respondent. Respondent

filed no objections to the commission's recommendation and this cause was considered by the court.

On June 21, 1995, this court entered an order adopting the commission's recommendation related to the 1992-1993 reporting period, imposing a fee sanction upon the respondent.

It has now come to the court's attention that the respondent has been deceased since February 1993. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the ordered of June 21, 1995 be, and hereby is, vacated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter be dismissed.