

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

MONDAY
July 31, 1995

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

1995 TERM

In re Report of the Commission	:	
on Continuing Legal Education.	:	July 28, 1995
Donna Jean Carr	:	
(#0024398),	:	
Respondent.	:	E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "Commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6) (A) (1) (b) and (A) (2) (d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On June 21, 1995, this court entered an order adopting the commission's recommendation related to the 1992-1993 reporting period and imposing a fee sanction upon the respondent.

On July 17, 1995, the commission filed a motion to vacate, requesting that the order of June 21, 1995, pertaining to the above-named respondent, be vacated. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to vacate be, and the same is hereby, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the order of June 21, 1995, pertaining to respondent, is hereby vacated and this cause is dismissed.

In re Report of the Commission : 1995 TERM
on Continuing Legal Education. :

To Wit: July 28, 1995

Robert Arthur Douglas, Jr.
(#0020347),
Respondent. :

O R D E R

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "Commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6) (A) (1) (b) and (A) (2) (d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On March 24, 1995, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6) (B) (1), this court issued to the respondent an order to show cause why the recommended sanction should not be adopted by the court and an order so entered against the respondent. Respondent filed no objections to the commission's recommendation. On July 6, 1995, the commission filed an amendment to the recommended sanction requesting that the court modify its order to a monetary sanction only, in the amount of \$220, and this cause was considered by the court. On consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the amended recommendation of the commission is adopted and, on or before August 28, 1995, respondent shall pay to the Commission on Continuing Legal Education, by certified check or money order, the imposed sanction in the total amount of \$220.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the court shall record the respondent's status on the roll of attorneys as "NOT IN GOOD STANDING" until such time as the respondent has complied with this order but that this order shall not be considered a disciplinary order pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V or Gov. Bar R. X(6) (H).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission on Continuing Legal Education shall notify the Clerk of court when payment of the imposed sanction has been made by respondent.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, payment of the sanction notwithstanding, respondent shall comply with the requirements imposed by Gov.Bar R. X for the 1992-1993 reporting period. See CLE Reg. 503.4.

In re Report of the Commission :
on Continuing Legal Education. : July 28, 1995

Cathy Bosworth Horton :
(#0037271),
Respondent. : E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "Commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On June 21, 1995, this court entered an order adopting the commission's recommendation related to the 1992-1993 reporting period and imposing a fee sanction upon the respondent.

On July 17, 1995, the commission filed a motion to vacate, requesting that the order of June 21, 1995, pertaining to the above-named respondent, be vacated. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to vacate be, and the same is hereby, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the order of June 21, 1995, pertaining to respondent, is hereby vacated and this cause is dismissed.

1995 TERM

In re Report of the Commission : To wit: July 28,
1995
on Continuing Legal Education. :

Brett Richard Joseph : O R D E R
(#0041361),
Respondent. :

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "Commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for

failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On March 24, 1995, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(1), this court issued to the respondent an order to show cause why the recommended sanction should not be adopted by the court and an order so entered against the respondent. Pursuant to the order to show cause, respondent has tendered payment in the amount of the recommended sanction, in lieu of filing objections. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the sanction of \$750 is hereby imposed upon the respondent and payment of the sanction is acknowledged.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this order shall not be considered a disciplinary order pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V or Gov.Bar R. X(6)(H).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, payment of the sanction notwithstanding, respondent shall comply with the requirements imposed by Gov.Bar R. X for the 1992-1993 reporting period. See CLE Reg. 503.4.