

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY
December 16, 1994

MERIT DOCKET

94-2446. State ex rel. Watkins v. Fiorenzo.
In Quo Warranto. Writ allowed.

Moyer, C.J., A.W. Sweeney, Douglas, Wright, Resnick, F.E.
Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., concur.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY
December 16, 1994

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

94-2105. Middleton v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 93-G-1192. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. On November 2, 1994, the record was filed in the Supreme Court. Appellant's brief was due December 12, 1994. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

94-2106. Middleton v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 93-G-1193. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. On November 2, 1994, the record was filed in the Supreme Court. Appellant's brief was due December 12, 1994. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

94-2107. Middleton v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 93-G-1194. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. On November 2, 1994, the record was filed in the Supreme Court. Appellant's brief was due December 12, 1994. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

94-2108. Middleton v. Stark Cty. Bd. of Revision.
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 93-G-833. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. On November 2, 1994, the record was filed in the Supreme Court. Appellant's brief was due December 12, 1994. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

94-2110. Middleton v. Stark Cty. Bd. of Revision.
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 93-G-834. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. On November 2, 1994, the record was filed in the Supreme Court. Appellant's brief was due December 12, 1994. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not

filed a merit brief with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.