

OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

The full texts of the opinions of the Supreme Court of Ohio are being transmitted electronically beginning May 27, 1992, pursuant to a pilot project implemented by Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer.

Please call any errors to the attention of the Reporter's Office of the Supreme Court of Ohio. Attention: Walter S. Kobalka, Reporter, or Deborah J. Barrett, Administrative Assistant. Tel.: (614) 466-4961; in Ohio 1-800-826-9010. Your comments on this pilot project are also welcome.

NOTE: Corrections may be made by the Supreme Court to the full texts of the opinions after they have been released electronically to the public. The reader is therefore advised to check the bound volumes of Ohio St.3d published by West Publishing Company for the final versions of these opinions. The advance sheets to Ohio St.3d will also contain the volume and page numbers where the opinions will be found in the bound volumes of the Ohio Official Reports.

Luna, Appellant, v. Russell, Warden, Appellee.
[Cite as Luna v. Russell (1994), Ohio St.3d .]
Habeas corpus not available to challenge either the validity or the sufficiency of an indictment.

(No. 94-1125 -- Submitted August 31, 1994 -- Decided October 19, 1994.)

Appeal from the Court of Appeals for Allen County, No. 1-94-18.

Petitioner-appellant, Michael K. Luna, filed a verified petition for a writ of habeas corpus in the Court of Appeals for Allen County, alleging that he was being unlawfully restrained of his liberty by respondent-appellee, Harry K. Russell, Warden of Lima Correctional Institute. In support of his claim, Luna asserted that the sentencing court, the Huron County Court of Common Pleas, lacked jurisdiction over him because the grand jury hearings which led to an indictment against him "were conducted by an intruder and usurper Special Deputy Sheriff Gregory A. Shell" in violation of R.C. 4705.01. The court of appeals sua sponte dismissed the petition on the grounds that the indictment attached to Luna's petition was signed by the Huron County Prosecuting Attorney and that Luna was being held pursuant to a judgment entered by a court with jurisdiction to render that judgment.

The cause is now before this court upon an appeal as of right.

Michael K. Luna, pro se.

Per Curiam. Luna asserts that the court of appeals erred in dismissing his petition for extraordinary relief. Generally, when the petitioner does not attack the jurisdiction of the court, habeas corpus will be denied. R.C. 2725.05; Stahl v. Shoemaker (1977), 50 Ohio St.2d 351, 4 O.O.3d 485, 364 N.E.2d 286. However, in certain extraordinary circumstances, habeas corpus will lie even though jurisdiction is not an issue, but only where there is no adequate legal remedy, e.g., appeal or postconviction relief. State ex rel. Pirman v. Money (1994), 69 Ohio St.3d 591, 594-595, 635 N.E.2d 26, 29.

Luna's petition challenged the validity of his indictment, apparently claiming that Shell, an assistant prosecuting attorney, was disqualified from presenting the state's case because of his concurrent status as a "special deputy sheriff." It appears from the indictment attached to Luna's petition that the assistant prosecuting attorney signed for the prosecuting attorney on that document. Luna contended that the special deputy sheriff was an "intruder" and "usurper" in the grand jury proceedings.

Habeas corpus is not available to challenge either the validity, *Hammond v. Dallman* (1992), 63 Ohio St.3d 666, 590 N.E.2d 744; *State v. Wozniak* (1961), 172 Ohio St. 517, 522-523, 18 O.O.2d 58, 61, 178 N.E.2d 800, 804, or the sufficiency of an indictment. *Wilson v. Rogers* (1993), 68 Ohio St.3d 130, 623 N.E.2d 1210. Luna possessed an adequate remedy by direct appeal to raise these contentions. Cf. *State ex rel. Jackson v. Allen* (1992), 65 Ohio St.3d 37, 599 N.E.2d 696 (writ of quo warranto to remove special prosecutor and to void indictments issued by him denied where adequate remedy existed by postconviction appeal of trial court's decision overruling motion to dismiss indictments).

Accordingly, the judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed.

Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., A.W. Sweeney, Wright, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., concur.

Douglas, J., concurs in judgment only.