

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,  
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR  
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY  
November 18, 1993

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

93-1652. Monex Corp. v. Limbach.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 87-H-976. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

93-1903. Homes Constr., Inc. v. Three Village Assoc.

Cuyahoga County, No. 62662. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County to certify its record. Appellant's request for an extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on September 24, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

93-1941. S.L.R. Industries v. Battle.

Cuyahoga County, No. 62687. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County to certify its record. It appears from the records of

this court that appellant has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

93-2096. State ex rel. Bell v. Voinovich.

In Mandamus and Quo Warranto. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for writs of mandamus and quo warranto.

Upon consideration of relators' application to dismiss,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that said application be, and the same is hereby, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

93-2150. Jarrel v. Durfey.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application to dismiss,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that said application be, and the same is hereby, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

#### DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

92-2501. Disciplinary Counsel v. Kearns.

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that Gregory Allen Kearns, Attorney Registration No. 0048706, is found in contempt for failure to fully comply with this court's order of April 21, 1993.