

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
June 29, 1993

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

92-1185. State ex rel. Spirko v. Owens.
Van Wert County, No. 15923. This cause, here on appeal from the Court
of Appeals for Van Wert County, was considered in the manner
prescribed by law. Appellant's request for extension of time to file
a brief was granted by this court on September 22, 1992 and the brief
was due October 26, 1992. It appears from the records of this court
that appellant has not filed a brief in compliance with the Rules of
Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute
this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is,
dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-4. State v. Copeland.
Cuyahoga County, No. 61607. This cause is pending before the court on
the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals
for Cuyahoga County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said
court. Appellant's motion for leave to file delayed appeal, Dennis M.
McBride's motion to withdraw as counsel, and appellant's request for
extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction were
granted on February 10, 1993. Appellant's memorandum in support of
jurisdiction was due on April 12, 1993. It appears from the records
of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of
jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme
Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the
requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is,
dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-271. State v. Payne.

Montgomery County, No. 13648. This cause, here on appeal from the Court of Appeals for Montgomery County, was considered in the manner prescribed by law. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a brief was granted by this court on March 9, 1993 and the brief was due May 3, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a brief in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-317. State v. Jones.

Lorain County, No. 92CA005334. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Lorain County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was granted by this court on March 1, 1993 and the memorandum in support of jurisdiction was due on March 12, 1993. The motion of Kenneth R. Spiert to withdraw as counsel for appellant was granted on April 14, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-353. State ex rel. Griffin v. Campbell.

Summit County, No. 15678. This cause, here on appeal from the Court of Appeals for Summit County, was considered in the manner prescribed by law. The original papers were filed on April 14, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a brief in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-385. State v. Seymore.

Stark County, No. CA-8897. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Stark County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on February 25, 1993 and appellant's motion for reconsideration of this court's denial of the request for extension of time was denied on April 14, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-404. State v. Jenkins.

Hamilton County, No. C-920316. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of

Appeals for Hamilton County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's motion for leave to file delayed appeal was granted on April 14, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-622. Folden v. Columbus Police Dept.
Franklin County, No. 92AP-926. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Franklin County to certify its record and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was granted on March 30, 1993. Appellant's memorandum in support of jurisdiction was due on May 7, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-639. State v. Lowe.
Cuyahoga County, No. 61982. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution, effective June 25, 1993.

93-675. State v. Kemp.
Summit County, No. 15704. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Summit County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on April 2, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-700. State v. McCray.
Hamilton County, No. C-920106. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on April 6, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-707. State v. Bailey.

Montgomery County, No. 13355. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Montgomery County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on April 6, 1993 and appellant's motion to compel was denied by this court on May 26, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-732. State v. Folden.

Franklin County, No. 92AP-1583. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on April 13, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-806. State v. Martinez.

Defiance County, No. 4-92-19. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution, effective June 25, 1993.

93-821. State v. Wadsworth.

Lorain County, No. 92CA005350. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution, effective June 25, 1993.

93-828. Stephens v. Stephens.

Franklin County, No. 92AP-1147. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution, effective June 25, 1993.

93-836. Nichols v. Littlefield.

Pickaway County, No. 92-CA-28. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Pickaway County to certify its record and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on April 26, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-845. State v. Harrison.

Summit County, No. 15932. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals

for Summit County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on April 27, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-877. Fletcher v. Northwest Mechanical Contractors, Inc. Williams County, No. 92WM0013. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Williams County to certify its record. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on May 3, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-962. State v. Todays Bookstore, Inc. Montgomery County, No. CA 13153. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Montgomery County to certify its record and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on May 14, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective June 25, 1993.

93-994. State v. Rooker. Pike County, No. 483. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution, effective June 25, 1993.

93-1003. State v. Alexander. Cuyahoga County, No. 61674. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution, effective June 25, 1993.

93-1057. Coburn v. Van Camp. Clermont County, No. CA92-11-108. This cause, here on appeal from the Court of Appeals for Clermont County, was considered in the manner prescribed by law. On application of appellee, this cause is hereby dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to Section 1, Rule II of the Supreme Court Rules of Practice, effective June 25, 1993.

93-1181. State v. Golden. Stark County, No. CA-6727. Cause dismissed, on appellant's application for dismissal, effective June 25, 1993.