

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,  
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. WHITTEN AT (614) 466-4961 OR  
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY  
May 7, 1993

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

92-851. State v. Wilson.

Cuyahoga County, No. 59983. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County to certify its record and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's motion for leave to exceed the page limitation on his memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on July 15, 1992. Appellant's motion for leave to file memorandum in support of jurisdiction instante was also denied by this court on July 15, 1992. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

92-1226. State v. Bell.

Marion County, No. 9-90-79. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Marion County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's motion for leave to exceed the page limitation on his memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on August 12, 1992. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

92-1388. State v. Freeman.

Montgomery County, No. 12198. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Montgomery County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on July 15, 1992. Appellant's motion for reconsideration of the denial of his request for extension was denied on September 30, 1992. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

92-1747. State v. Lentz.

Trumbull County, No. 91-T-4622. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution.

92-1878. State ex rel. Pratt v. Cartolano.

Hamilton County, No. C-920464. Sua sponte, cause dismissed for want of prosecution.

92-2020. State v. Rivera.

Lake County, No. 92-L-066. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Lake County and as a claimed appeal as of right from said court. Appellant's motion to strike appellant's document titled "Memorandum in Support of Jurisdiction for Justitia Piepoudrous" was granted December 2, 1992. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

92-2410. Blaschak v. Union Savings Bank & Trust Co.

Jefferson County, No. 91-J-7. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Jefferson County to certify its record. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on February 24, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

92-2442. State v. Mayle.

Franklin County, No. 92AP-403. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Appellant's request for extension of

time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on February 17, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

92-2597. State v. Frambach.

Lorain County, No. 92CA005395. Cause dismissed, on appellant's application to dismiss, effective May 6, 1993.

92-2629. Dressler v. Dressler.

Portage County, No. 91-P-2312. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Portage County to certify its record. Appellant's request for extension of time to file a memorandum in support of jurisdiction was denied by this court on January 29, 1993. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

93-729. Rudy v. Loral Defense Sys.

Summit County, No. 15701. This cause is pending before the court on the filing of a motion for an order directing the Court of Appeals for Summit County to certify its record and was considered in a manner prescribed by law. On application of appellee, this cause is hereby dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to Section 1, Rule II of the Supreme Court Rules of Practice, effective May 6, 1993.

93-733. State v. Dickinson.

Lake County, No. 92-L-086. Cause dismissed, on appellant's application for dismissal, effective May 5, 1993.