

WCOURT OF APPEALS
RICHLAND COUNTY, OHIO
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

BARRY THOMAS,
STATE EX REL.

Petitioner

-vs-

DEPARTMENT OF
REHABILITATION
AND CORRECTIONS DIRECTOR:
MANSFIELD, CORRECTIONAL
INSTITUTIONS;
EDWARD SHELDON

Respondent

JUDGES:

Hon. W. Scott Gwin, P.J.
Hon. Patricia A. Delaney, J.
Hon. Craig R. Baldwin, J.

Case No. 2020 CA 0042

OPINION

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING:

Writ of Habeas Corpus

JUDGMENT:

Dismissed

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY:

May 18, 2020

APPEARANCES:

For: Petitioner

For: Respondent

BARRY L. THOMAS, Pro Se
#684903
1150 North Main Street
P.O. Box 788
Mansfield, OH 44901

GARY D. BISHOP
Richland County Prosecutor
38 South Park Street
2nd Floor
Mansfield, OH 44902

Gwin, P.J.

{¶1} On May 4, 2020, Petitioner, Barry Thomas, filed a Writ of Habeas Corpus against Respondents, “Department of Rehabilitation, and Corrections Director: Mansfield, Correctional Institutions; Edward Sheldon [.]” Mr. Thomas’s argument is not easily followed, but he asserts he is entitled to habeas relief because:

[H]e is deprived of his life, liberty and property, because the Adult parole authority went beyond his three (3) years expiration of sentencing Judgment Entry in its entirety, because the (A.P.A.’s) failure to comply with 2967.14.1(D)(3) and 2967.28(F)(3), therefore petitioner demands that this court issue an order to release said body of Barry L. Thomas, ex rel., a flesh and blood man, and then and there give such other relief as deemed by setting the petitioner at liberty.

{¶2} (Writ of Habeas Corpus at 5)

{¶3} Due to the following deficiencies, Mr. Thomas cannot maintain an action for habeas corpus and his petition is sua sponte dismissed. We are permitted to dismiss a habeas corpus petition sua sponte if the petition does not contain a facially valid claim. *State ex rel. Crigger v. Ohio Adult Parole Auth.*, 82 Ohio St.3d 270, 271, 695 N.E.2d 254 (1988).

{¶4} Mr. Thomas’s petition is deficient in the following manner. First, he did not state a facially valid claim for habeas relief because he failed to comply with R.C. 2725.04(D). This statute requires a copy of the commitment or cause of detention be attached to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. Without the commitment papers, the writ of habeas corpus is fatally defective. *Brown v. Rogers*, 72 Ohio St.3d 339, 341, 650

N.E.2d 422 (1995). A court may sua sponte dismiss a petition for habeas corpus that fails to comply with R.C. 2725.04(D). See *State ex rel. Wynn v. McFaul*, 81 Ohio St.3d 193, 194, 690 N.E.2d 7 (1998) (Ohio Supreme Court affirmed court of appeals' sua sponte dismissal of habeas corpus petition for failure to comply with R.C. 2725.04(D).)

{¶5} Second, Mr. Thomas's petition includes an affidavit of indigency wherein he requests waiver of prepayment of the Court's filing fees. However, Mr. Thomas failed to include in his affidavit of indigency a statement setting forth the balance in his inmate account for each of the preceding six months, as certified by the institutional cashier, in violation of R.C. 2969.25(C)(1). A court may sua sponte dismiss a writ of habeas corpus for failing to comply R.C. 2969.25(C)(1). See *State ex rel. Hairston v. Franklin Cty. Ct. of Common Pleas*, 10th Dist. Franklin No. 17AP-502, 2018-Ohio-148, ¶ 9 ("Because petitioner has failed to comply with the mandatory requirements of R.C. 2969.25(C), it is this magistrate's decision that this court should sua sponte dismiss his habeas corpus action.")

{¶6} For the foregoing reasons, we sua sponte dismiss Mr. Thomas's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. The clerk of courts is hereby directed to serve upon all parties not in default notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal. See Civ.R. 58(B).

{¶7} CAUSE SUA SPONTE DISMISSED.

{¶8} COSTS TO PETITIONER

{¶9} IT IS SO ORDERED.

By Gwin, P.J.,

Delaney, J., and

Baldwin, J., concur