

[Cite as *Robinson v. Alexandria*, 2018-Ohio-1719.]

STANLEY E. ROBINSON	Case No. 2017-00808PQ
Requester	Judge Patrick M. McGrath
v.	<u>ENTRY ADOPTING</u>
VILLAGE OF ALEXANDRIA	<u>RECOMMENDATION OF</u>
Respondent	<u>SPECIAL MASTER</u>

{¶1} On October 5, 2017, requester Stanley Robinson filed a complaint under R.C. 2743.75 alleging denial of timely access by respondent Village of Alexandria to public records in violation of R.C. 149.43(B). The complaint listed the responses to 338 requests made over the preceding two years as either “no response” or “not satisfied.” Following mediation, Robinson filed a request that the case proceed to judicial determination of 37 remaining unsatisfied requests. On February 26, 2018, the Village filed a response and motion to dismiss.

{¶2} On March 16, 2018, Special Master Clark issued a report recommending that the court grant the Village’s motion to dismiss all requests other than those listed in requester’s January 12, 2018 notice of remaining unsatisfied requests. The Special Master further recommended that the court grant Robinson’s claim for production of records responsive to requests listed in the Appendix to the report at lines 9, 10, 14, and 45-47, and for production of readable copies of records responsive to the request at Appendix line 15, all as detailed in the report. The Special Master further recommends that the court deny all other claims for production of records in this case as either ambiguous, overly broad, or for records that the Village has affirmed do not exist.

{¶3} R.C. 2743.75(F)(2) states, in part: “Either party may object to the report and recommendation within seven business days after receiving the report and

recommendation by filing a written objection with the clerk * * *.” No objections were filed by either party. The court determines that there is no error of law or other defect evident on the face of the Special Master’s decision. Therefore, the court adopts the Special Master’s report and recommendation as its own, including findings of fact and conclusions of law contained therein.

{¶4} Court costs are assessed against the respondent, and respondent is further ordered to make payment of twenty-five dollars to requester as recovery of his filing fee in this case. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

PATRICK M. MCGRATH
Judge