

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
FAYETTE COUNTY

STATE OF OHIO,	:	
Appellee,	:	CASE NO. CA2019-05-011
	:	<u>DECISION</u>
- vs -	:	11/4/2019
	:	
LASHANA ELLIOTT,	:	
Appellant.	:	

CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM FAYETTE COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Case No. CRI 20190115

Jess C. Weade, Fayette County Prosecuting Attorney, Fayette County Courthouse,
110 East Court Street, 1st Floor, Washington Court House, Ohio 43160, for appellee

Steven H. Eckstein, 1208 Bramble Avenue, Washington Court House, Ohio 43160, for
appellant

Per Curiam.

{¶1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal filed by
appellant, Lashana Elliott, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript
of proceedings and original papers from the Fayette County Court of Common Pleas,
and upon the brief filed by appellant's counsel.

{¶2} Appellant's counsel has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v.*
California, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), which (1) indicates that a careful review
of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court
prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be

predicated; (2) lists two potential errors "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders*, at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

HENDRICKSON, P.J., S. POWELL and RINGLAND, JJ., concur.