

DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. RUMIZEN.

**[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. Rumizen*, 159 Ohio St.3d 1201,
2020-Ohio-444.]**

(No. 2019-0217—Submitted February 7, 2020—Decided February 11, 2020.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

{¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Scott Andrew Rumizen, Attorney Registration No. 0058561, last known business address in Beachwood, Ohio.

{¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of June 27, 2019, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(3), suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of two years, with 18 months stayed on conditions, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(24).

{¶ 3} Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

{¶ 4} It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(1) and that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(2).

{¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Disciplinary Counsel v. Rumizen*, 156 Ohio St.3d 575, 2019-Ohio-2519, 130 N.E.3d 283.

O’CONNOR, C.J., and KENNEDY, FRENCH, FISCHER, DEWINE, DONNELLY, and STEWART, JJ., concur.
