



Office of Disciplinary Counsel
2017 ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	Staff Overview
3	Significant Office Developments
5	Grievances
6	Formal Complaints and Dismissals
6	Appeals
6	Unauthorized Practice of Law (UPL)
7	Reciprocals
7	Child Support
7	Resignations and Retirements
8	Interim Suspensions
8	Hearings and Oral Arguments
9	TABLE 1: A Five-Year Comparison (2013-2017) Disposition of Grievances Received, Caseload Comparison, and Sanctions Issued
10	TABLE 2: Grievances Received and Opened for Investigation By Primary Violation: A Five-Year Comparison (2013-2017)
11	TABLE 3: 2016 Sanctions Issued by The Supreme Court of Ohio Pursuant to Cases Filed by Disciplinary Counsel
13	TABLE 4: Status of Formal Matters Pending as of Dec. 31, 2017
14	TABLE 5: ODC Matters Received in 2017 based on County of Respondents' Principal Ohio Office Locations
15	TABLE 6: ODC Operational Expenses for 2017-2018 Budget



A MESSAGE FROM DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Dear Chief Justice O'Connor and Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court:

In accordance with Rule V, Section 4(D) of the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, I respectfully submit for your consideration the 2017 Annual Report of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.

In 2017, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel filed 34 complaints that were certified by the Board of Professional Conduct. The office also processed the retirements or resignations with disciplinary charges pending of an additional 40 Ohio lawyers and disposed of 2,254 grievances during 2017. The office's investigative caseload at the end of 2017 was 626 cases.

Additionally, in further implementation of the Court's amendment to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(D)(1)(e), the Office continued to present training programs for bar counsel and volunteer grievance committee members who are designated trial counsel of record in cases prosecuted before the Board of Professional Conduct. In 2017, the office began providing advanced training to bar counsel and certified grievance committee members in four separate one and one-half hour segments on the topics of (a) complaint drafting; (b) defaults, consent agreements and stipulations; (c) aggravating and mitigating circumstances; and (d) determination of the appropriate sanction. Eight of these four-topic seminars were conducted throughout Ohio in 2017.

Moreover, in addition to the bar counsel/certified grievance committee training referenced above, as part of its educational outreach program, attorneys from the Office of Disciplinary Counsel made presentations at 37 separate programs and events during 2017, with the undersigned disciplinary counsel making 20 of those presentations and the chief assistant disciplinary counsel, Joe Caligiuri, making 11 presentations. Telephonic and email advice and guidance on questions of legal ethics and professional conduct is available on a daily basis to Ohio judges and lawyers. During 2017, ODC lawyers responded to more than 1,000 requests for ethical advice and guidance from lawyers and judicial officers.

Finally, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel developed a proposed IOLTA/Client Trust Account School for lawyers who are found during disciplinary investigations to lack knowledge of their trust accounting obligations or have ignored those obligations. After beta-testing the educational program this spring and summer, we hope to make a presentation to the Board of Professional Conduct in order to encourage the board and the Court to recommend or order disciplined respondents with IOLTA/trust account issues to take the course as a condition of their discipline.

The 26-member staff of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel is committed to its public protection mission and its service to the Supreme Court, the legal profession and the public in ensuring that judges and lawyers are competent and ethical in the performance of their duties.

Sincerely,



Scott J. Drexel
Disciplinary Counsel



OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

The position of Disciplinary Counsel and the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (“ODC”) were established by the Supreme Court of Ohio. The duties and responsibilities of the Disciplinary Counsel and of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel are set forth in Gov.Bar R. V(3)(B). In accordance with that rule, Disciplinary Counsel is authorized to investigate allegations of misconduct, mental illness, or substance abuse by lawyers and judges under the Ohio Rules of Professional Conduct, the Code of Judicial Conduct and rules governing the unauthorized practice of law (“UPL”).

Disciplinary Counsel also has the authority, among other things, to (a) initiate complaints with the Board of Professional Conduct (“board”) based upon its investigations; (b) certify bar counsel designated by certified grievance committees; (c) review the dismissals of grievances by certified grievance committees for abuse of discretion or error of law; (d) develop and offer an education curriculum for bar counsel and certified grievance committee members; (e) review registration forms for the employment of suspended or disqualified lawyers; and (f) investigate the applications of Ohio lawyers to retire or resign from the practice of law and file a confidential sealed report with the Supreme Court recommending whether the application should be granted and, if so, whether it should be approved by the Court as a retirement or as a resignation with disciplinary action pending.



Assistant Disciplinary Counsel Karen Osmond (far left), examines a witness in a disciplinary proceeding before a hearing panel of the Board of Professional Conduct.

STAFF OVERVIEW

The 26-person staff of the ODC is comprised of the following positions, including the incumbents in those positions during 2017.

Disciplinary Counsel

Scott J. Drexel

Chief Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

Joseph M. Caligiuri

Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

Stacy Beckman¹

Jennifer Bondurant

Michelle Bowman

Lia Meehan

Karen Osmond

Casey Russo

Donald Scheetz

Amy Stone

Audrey Varwig

Administrative Officer

Jennifer Dennis

Executive Administrative Assistant

Christine McKrimmon

Legal Research Analysts

Paula Adams

Brent Small

Miriah Lee

Resigned, effective May 12, 2017

Linda Gilbert

Commenced employment Aug. 7, 2017

Investigators

Donald Holtz

Peter Simpson

Resigned, effective May 12, 2017

Charles Bower

Commenced employment Aug. 7, 2017

Administrative Assistant

Laura Johnston

Legal/Administrative Secretaries

Karen Loy

Cassandra Kilgore

Shannon Scheid

Resigned, effective Feb. 24, 2017

Lori Luttrell

Commenced employment April 24, 2017

Fatimata Diallo

Resigned, effective Oct. 6, 2017

Jonathan Herr

Commenced employment Dec. 4, 2017

Receptionist

Elizabeth Reynolds

Clerical Support Staff

Hartland Ruben

Resigned, effective April 24, 2017

Thern Osborne

Commenced employment June 26, 2017

Sam Simms

The office also contracts with two part-time field investigators who provide investigative services as needed in the northeastern and southwestern portions of Ohio.

¹ Ms. Beckman is part-time and works a four-day-per-week schedule.

SIGNIFICANT OFFICE DEVELOPMENTS

A. Continuing ODC Training Program for Bar Counsel and Members of Certified Grievance Committees Designated as Trial Counsel of Record

Effective Jan. 1, 2015, the Supreme Court adopted Gov.Bar R. V(5)(D)(1)(e), which provides that, on or after Jan. 1, 2016, any bar counsel or volunteer certified grievance committee member who is designated as trial counsel of record in a case prosecuted before the Board of Professional Conduct must have attended and completed a training program offered by Disciplinary Counsel that relates to the preparation and prosecution of formal complaints.

During 2017, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel conducted eight separate advanced training programs for bar counsel and members of certified grievance committees throughout Ohio on the topics of (a) complaint drafting; (b) defaults, consent agreement, and stipulations; (c) mitigation and aggravation; and (d) determining the appropriate sanction. Each of the four topics were presented in one and one-half hour segments on the same day, with bar counsel and certified grievance committee members permitted to attend each of the segments or only those segments that were of interest to them. The eight advanced training sessions were held in Columbus (two sessions), Cincinnati, Toledo, Cleveland (two sessions), Dayton, and Akron. In addition, two of the three and one-half hour training sessions for new bar counsel and certified grievance committee members were held in Columbus and Cleveland.

B. Electronic Document Management

In the fall of 2016, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel formed a committee to formulate and implement a plan to modify the office's case management software and internal processes to support a "paperless" system utilizing Adobe Acrobat Professional software.

The committee analyzed the workflow throughout the intake process, which uncovered inefficiencies, inconsistencies, and disorganization that have now been eliminated.

All incoming and outgoing intake material is now scanned and saved electronically in searchable format. Certain tasks, such as applications for retirement or resignation, reciprocal discipline, and appeals from certified grievance committee dismissals have been successfully converted to a paperless format and are now being managed electronically. All administrative personnel were trained to use Adobe Acrobat Professional software. A new process for cataloging all incoming mail was developed to provide a more accurate account of the volume of material handled at the intake stage.

The intake process has been converted to an essentially paperless format and the case management software has been, and will continue to be, enhanced accordingly. The office experienced a significant reduction in its use of paper and file folders. Moreover, the processing of new grievances has been accelerated by the changed work flow. The "paperless" conversion process also resulted in a significant reduction of required physical storage space and greater availability and searchability of information has occurred.

The review and approval process for dismissals of grievances at the intake level and the assignment of cases for investigation has also now been made paperless through the case management system. The next step will be to develop a paperless process for matters in investigation and, ultimately, litigation.

C. Educational Outreach

In Disciplinary Counsel's view, the education of Ohio lawyers and judges about the requirements and obligations imposed by the Rules of Professional Conduct and the Code of Judicial Conduct serves an important and valuable part of the work of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel. The purpose of lawyer and judicial disciplinary proceedings is not to "punish" the particular lawyer or judge but, rather, to protect the public and the legal profession. Disciplinary Counsel believes there are many circumstances in which education can be just as effective in preventing future misconduct as a disciplinary prosecution.

Therefore, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel attempts to accommodate all requests for a member of the office to address groups of lawyers and/or judges on issues relating to legal ethics and the requirements of the Rules of Professional Conduct and the Code of Judicial Conduct.

In addition to the 10 bar counsel and certified grievance committee training sessions conducted throughout Ohio in 2017, the office made presentations at a total of at least 37 other meetings and events. The undersigned Disciplinary Counsel participated in 20 speaking engagements and Chief Assistant Disciplinary Counsel Joe Caligiuri participated in 11 speaking engagements during 2017.

D. IOLTA/Client Trust Accounting School

From the Office of Disciplinary Counsel's investigations, including overdraft reports received from financial institutions, and from speaking engagements throughout the state of Ohio, it is apparent that a significant percentage of lawyers admitted to the practice of law in Ohio are not familiar with their IOLTA/client trust account recordkeeping requirements under Prof.Cond.R. 1.15(a) or of their obligations in the handling of advanced attorney fees and costs under Prof.Cond.R. 1.5 and 1.15.

As a result of this situation, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel has been working on a proposed IOLTA/Client Trust Accounting School program. A draft of the proposed program has been completed and is currently being reviewed, modified, and edited, and will be "beta-tested" using bar counsel, members of certified grievance committees, and respondent's counsel. Thereafter, we may require respondent lawyers who overdraft their IOLTAs and/or who are discovered during our investigations to have recordkeeping deficiencies relating to their IOLTAs to take and pass the IOLTA/Client Trust Accounting School as a condition of closing our investigations without the filing of a formal disciplinary complaint against them.

If the IOLTA/Client Trust Accounting School is as successful as we hope and expect it to be, it is our ultimate goal to seek the Board of Professional Conduct's and this Court's approval of imposing attendance at the course as a condition attached to the discipline imposed against lawyers for violations of their IOLTA and client trust account obligations.

E. Abandoned Attorney Files

In accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(26), when a lawyer dies, is suspended or disbarred, or otherwise abandons his or her client files and there is no partner, executor, or other responsible party who is available and willing to assume responsibility, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel may take possession of the lawyer's files, inventory the files, and take such action as is necessary to protect the interests of clients of the lawyer.

During 2017, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel took possession of approximately 139 boxes of files from eight lawyers. Although the number of client files contained in each box may vary significantly, there are an average of about 20 to 25 client files per box. Therefore, during 2017, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel took possession of approximately 3,200 client files.

Upon receipt of the abandoned files, personnel of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel inventory the files and make efforts to locate and contact the lawyer's former clients to determine whether the client wants ODC to forward the file to him or her or, alternatively, to have the file destroyed.

In addition, Gov.Bar R. V(26) permits ODC to destroy abandoned client files after a period of seven years, provided that ODC has an inventory of the abandoned files and has made reasonable efforts to locate and contact the lawyers' former clients. Pursuant to this criteria, as of the date of the filing of this annual report, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel has approximately 196 boxes of client files belonging to seven lawyers that are eligible for destruction. These boxes contain approximately 5,000 individual client files.

GRIEVANCES

In 2017, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel received a total of 2,598 new matters. This figure includes all relevant categories, such as grievances filed against lawyers, judges, magistrates, and justices; appeals from dismissals by the Certified Grievance Committees; felony convictions; board cases; Unauthorized Practice of Law investigations; UPL board cases; child support; contempt; reciprocal discipline; retirements; and resignations. Of that total, 1,803 represented grievances originally filed with ODC against lawyers and 491 grievances originally filed against judicial officers.

A total of 1,154 grievances were dismissed at intake or after initial review, of which 788 were against lawyers and 350 were against judicial officers. For administrative reasons, 61 grievances initially received by ODC were transferred to local bar associations for investigation. For the same reason, another 52 grievances were forwarded to the board for reassignment. The remaining 994 grievances were opened for investigation. For a detailed analysis of grievances received in 2017 and opened for investigation, please refer to Table 2 (p. 10). The data identifies the alleged primary violation and also includes data from the prior four calendar years to assist in tracking grievances and reporting trends in the state. Table 5 (p. 14) represents the geographic distribution of the grievances filed with ODC in 2017, organized by Ohio county based upon the location of the respondent lawyers' principal Ohio law offices.

One violation category, i.e., "Failure to Maintain Funds in a Trust," originally experienced a dramatic increase during the first five years following the 2005 adoption of a statutory requirement mandating that financial institutions notify ODC in each instance in which an IOLTA was overdrawn. In 2006, the number of reported overdrafts was 243 and steadily

increased to 382 in 2010. Thereafter, ODC began to experience a reduction in the number of statutory reports with 353 in 2011, 338 in 2012, 254 in 2013, and 237 in 2014. After increasing slightly to 256 in 2015, the number of statutory reports dropped significantly to only 180 matters in 2016 and increased slightly to 182 matters in 2017.

At the beginning of 2017, there were 601 grievances pending with ODC. As of Dec. 31, 2017, there were 626 grievances pending or under investigation.

FORMAL COMPLAINTS AND DISMISSALS

In 2017, ODC filed 34 formal complaints with the Board of Professional Conduct, four of which alleged judicial misconduct. Of the 33 board cases closed in 2017, nine of these complaints were dismissed at various stages of the process — five at the hearing panel stage, three by the board, and one by the Court. Four of the dismissals resulted from approval of the respondents' application for resignation with "disciplinary action pending." An additional 10 cases were dismissed as a result of the imposition of an indefinite suspension upon the respondent following his or her failure to participate in the disciplinary proceeding.

APPEALS

In Ohio, both the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and 32 certified grievance committees (which are associated with local bar associations across the state) are authorized to receive, investigate, and prosecute grievances against Ohio lawyers. If a grievance is initially submitted to and dismissed by any of the certified grievance committees, the grievant has 14 days within which to appeal that dismissal to the director of the board, who then refers the request for review to ODC. The Office of Disciplinary Counsel is authorized to open a new case and to conduct a separate investigation.

In 2017, ODC received 150 appeals, a decrease of 21 from 2016. During the year, 169 appeals were closed. None of the appeals resulted in ODC filing a formal complaint with the board. As of Dec. 31, 2017, there were 39 appeals pending.

UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW (UPL)

The Office of Disciplinary Counsel is authorized to receive grievances against an individual or organization who/that is not authorized to engage in the practice of law in Ohio (*see Gov. Bar R. VII*). The respondent may be a former lawyer who is not currently licensed under Ohio rules, a lawyer licensed in another jurisdiction but not Ohio, or someone who has never been admitted to the practice of law in any jurisdiction. Also subject to ODC's investigative powers are businesses or other entities that offer legal services without the authority to do so.

The number of UPL grievances received in 2017 totaled 55, an increase of 8 from last year. During the year, 61 UPLs were closed and, as of Dec. 31, 2017, there were 37 UPL investigations pending. In 2017, no new UPL Board cases were filed. Two UPL board cases were closed in 2017.

RECIPROCALLS

Lawyers may be licensed to practice law in multiple state jurisdictions. When a lawyer admitted to the practice of law in Ohio has been sanctioned by another state, the attorney is required to notify both the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and the clerk of the Ohio Supreme Court of the action. In addition, ODC frequently learns of the imposition of discipline in another jurisdiction from the disciplinary agency itself. Once a certified copy of the original disciplinary order is received, the Court may impose a sanction upon the lawyer with either identical or comparable discipline (*see Gov.Bar R. V(20)*). ODC received four reciprocal discipline matters and closed four such matters in 2017. The Court sanctioned four lawyers on reciprocal complaints in 2017. (*See Table 3 on p. 11 for sanction and original state jurisdiction*).

CHILD SUPPORT

If an Ohio lawyer, justice or judicial officer has been found, in a final and enforceable determination, to be in default of a child support order, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel is authorized under Gov.Bar R. V(18) to pursue an interim suspension. No child support matters were filed by ODC in 2017 and none were pending at the close of the year.

RESIGNATIONS AND RETIREMENTS

Lawyers may submit an application to the Court to resign or retire from the practice of law. Once approved, the retirement or resignation is final and irrevocable and the lawyer is ineligible from seeking future readmission or reinstatement to the practice of law. The application contains both an affidavit and written waiver permitting the Disciplinary Counsel to conduct a review of the application to determine whether the application should be granted and, if so, whether it should be classified as a retirement or a resignation with disciplinary action pending.

During the investigation, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel seeks to determine whether the applicant is currently the subject of any disciplinary investigation(s) or proceeding(s) or whether the lawyer is currently on a disciplinary suspension or probation. If disciplinary action is pending or the lawyer is currently under a disciplinary suspension or probation, ODC prepares a sealed report to the Office of Attorney Services indicating that the applicant may resign but that the resignation may only be approved with the designation “with Disciplinary Action Pending.” Applicants for retirement or resignation who have no pending disciplinary investigations or proceedings are permitted to retire from the practice of law (*for details, see Gov. Bar R. VI(7)*).

In 2017, 38 retirement or resignation applications were received for review by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel. In 2017, the Court announced 12 resignations with disciplinary action pending and 26 retirements. (*See Table 3, on pgs. 11-12*). Additionally, one application for retirement or resignation was withdrawn during the review process.

INTERIM SUSPENSIONS

There were 12 interim suspensions decided by the Court in 2017 — seven for felony convictions, three for default, two for interim remedial, and none for mental health.

HEARINGS AND ORAL ARGUMENTS

In 2017, Office of Disciplinary Counsel attorneys appeared at 22 hearings before panels of the board. ODC attorneys participated in six oral arguments before the Ohio Supreme Court in 2017. As of Dec. 31, 2017, there were 30 cases awaiting hearing dates before the board and five cases awaiting a report from the board. One case was pending oral argument before the Court and 11 cases were pending a decision by the Court.



Chief Assistant Disciplinary Counsel Joseph Caligiuri speaks before a hearing panel of the Board of Professional Conduct.

- TABLE 1 -
A FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON (2013-2017)

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
DISPOSITION OF GRIEVANCES					
Dismissed on Intake or After Investigation	2,466	2,210	2,171	2,054	2,254
Pending at End of Year	650	668	595	564	626
CASELOAD COMPARISON					
Grievances Received	2,679	2,585	2,392	2,356	2,598
Appeals Received	205	158	168	171	150
UPLs Received	34	45	31	47	55
Formal Complaints Filed	31	46	40	39	34
SANCTIONS ISSUED					
<small>(SEE TABLE 3 ON P. 11 FOR DETAILS)</small>					
Public Reprimands	2	5	6	2	2
Six-Month Suspensions	3	3	4	5	3
One-Year Suspensions	6	4	3	11	5
18-Month Suspensions	0	0	0	0	1
Two-Year Suspensions	2	11	3	10	3
Indefinite Suspensions	7	10	15	10	6
Interim Default Suspensions	7	6	10	8	3
Interim Felony Suspensions	2	8	11	6	6
Interim Remedial Suspensions	0	1	0	1	2
Mental Health Suspensions	1	0	0	0	0
Disbarments	3	2	1	1	4
Reciprocal Disciplines	5	7	1	6	4
Resignations with Disciplinary Action Pending	6	18	20	19	12
Retirements <small>(Technically, these are not sanctions.)</small>	20	19	21	19	26

- TABLE 2 -

GRIEVANCES RECEIVED AND OPENED FOR INVESTIGATION

A FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON (2013-2017)

Alleged Primary Violation	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Neglect/Failure to Protect Interests of the Client	320	259	211	262	255
Failure to Account or Turn Over File	61	31	24	26	23
Improper Withdrawal (Refusal to Withdraw)	8	12	7	15	16
Excessive Fees	75	71	61	66	69
Personal Misconduct	126	78	80	65	51
Misrepresentation/False Statement/Concealment	14	17	15	31	17
Criminal Conviction	14	12	33	8	17
Failure to File Income Tax Returns	0	1	0	0	0
Commingling of Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Conversion	18	14	22	17	30
Embezzlement	2	5	1	1	2
Failure to Maintain Funds in Trust	254	237	256	180	182
Breach of Client Confidence	7	6	3	14	6
Conflict of Lawyer's Interest	34	26	19	41	29
Conflict of Client's Interest	35	46	37	53	36
Communication with Adverse Party Represented by Counsel	7	3	5	12	10
Trial Misconduct	71	90	72	101	112
Failure to Register	10	1	2	0	1
Practicing While Under Suspension	11	13	27	10	9
Assisting in the Unauthorized Practice of Law	6	6	4	2	4
Advertising/Solicitation	16	19	10	13	20
Judicial Misconduct	134	92	82	100	98
Mental Illness	0	1	3	2	2
Substance Abuse	5	1	4	1	8
Other	0	1	0	0	0
TOTAL	1,228	1,042	978	1,020	997

- TABLE 3 -

SANCTIONS ISSUED IN 2017 BY THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO
PURSUANT TO CASES FILED BY DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

2 PUBLIC REPRIMANDS

Cynthia Williams	2017-0796
Amy Moore	2016-1160

3 SIX-MONTH SUSPENSIONS

Steven Schnitke	2018-0861
Jeremiah Denslow	2016-1487
Gregory Peck	2016-1490

5 ONE-YEAR SUSPENSIONS

Jay Greg Miller	2016-1829
Andrew Schuman	2016-1834
Richard Barbera	2016-1159
Thomas Maney	2016-1494
Quentin Derryberry	2017-1088

1 18-MONTH SUSPENSIONS

Samuel Smith	2017-0487
--------------	-----------

3 TWO-YEAR SUSPENSIONS

Gigi Fuhry	2017-0489
Patricia Pickrel	2017-0225
Scott Smith	2014-0197

6 INDEFINITE SUSPENSIONS

Harry Jackson	2017-0280
Marc Doumbas	2016-1149
Christopher Bucio	2017-0800
Jeffrey Brumbaugh	2016-1333
Andrew Martyniuk	2016-1821
Daniel Niehaus	2017-0052
Guy D. Rutherford	2016-0206
James Thomas	2015-1001
Mark A. Thomas	2015-1547
Angela M. Whitt	2016-0214

3 INTERIM DEFAULT SUSPENSIONS

Rasheed Simmonds	2017-1823
Guy Rutherford	2017-0010
Thomas Brown	2017-1232

7 INTERIM FELONY SUSPENSIONS

Gregory Moore	2017-0784
Susan Pioch	2017-0092
Michael Marshall	2017-1163
Mark Chuparkoff	2017-1364
Christopher Bucio	2017-0022
Michael Hoague	2017-1714
Thaddeus Bereday	2017-1627

2 INTERIM REMEDIAL SUSPENSIONS

William Tinch	2017-1213
Mark Deters	2017-1315

0 MENTAL HEALTH SUSPENSIONS

4 DISBARMENTS

Mohammed Alo	2015-2053
Shawn Little	2016-1838
Timothy Bellew	2016-1082
Robert Hoskins	2016-1496

4 RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINES

Michael Moore	2017-1090
Christina Edmondson	2017-0210
Christina Edmondson	2016-1846
Robert Leach	2017-0359

12 RESIGNATIONS WITH DISCIPLINARY ACTION PENDING

David G. Simonette	2016-1789
Shannon C. Boston	2016-1871
Patrick C. Mackin	2017-0037
Christopher J. Goldthorpe	2017-0038
Joseph D. Reed	2017-0403
Ernest A. Eynon	2017-0425
Susan M. Pioch	2017-0732
Robert E. Searfoss	2017-0997
Mark R. Provenza	2017-1158
Raymond L. Eichenberger	2017-0983
Andrea L. Reino	2017-1436
Robert K. Leonard	2017-1659

SANCTIONS ISSUED IN 2017 BY THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO
PURSUANT TO CASES FILED BY DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

26 RETIREMENTS

Dale V. Wilson
Thomas P. Meaney
James R. Livingston
William D. Conley
Maureen A. Moloney
Mary L. Tobin
Joseph W. Westmeyer
Vanessa L. Guenther
George N. Fell
Michelle L. Baumeister
Michael J. Morrissey
David B. Stearns
Jerome H. Hossler
David D. Murray
Edward T. Beery
Robert P. DeMarco
Michele A. Madden
Ray E. Laribee
Francis E. Cicconetti
Joseph r. Kiacz
Terrence G. Kane
Reginald S. Kramer
William B. Balyeat
Elaine J. Knutson
Tomas Pillari
Douglas A. Vandiford

- TABLE 4 -
STATUS OF FORMAL MATTERS PENDING
 AS OF DEC. 31, 2017

Reinstatements	5
<hr/>	
BEFORE THE PROBABLE CAUSE PANEL	
Awaiting Certification to Board	1
Appeal of Panel Dismissal to Full Board	0
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT	
<hr/>	
Awaiting Hearing	30
Awaiting Board Report	5
BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO	
<hr/>	
Awaiting Oral Argument	1
Awaiting Supreme Court Decision	11
<hr/>	
TOTAL PENDING	53

- TABLE 5 -

MATTERS RECEIVED BY ODC IN 2017

BASED ON COUNTY OF RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPAL OHIO OFFICE LOCATION

Adams	3	Hamilton	162	Noble	0
Allen	20	Hancock	8	Ottawa	18
Ashland	6	Hardin	3	Paulding	4
Ashtabula	20	Harrison	7	Perry	5
Athens	6	Henry	3	Pickaway	3
Auglaize	1	Highland	4	Pike	1
Belmont	4	Hocking	12	Portage	29
Brown	1	Holmes	2	Preble	3
Butler	37	Huron	7	Putnam	8
Carroll	2	Jackson	5	Richland	22
Champaign	0	Jefferson	8	Ross	18
Clark	22	Knox	1	Sandusky	16
Clermont	20	Lake	38	Scioto	25
Clinton	12	Lawrence	0	Seneca	9
Columbiana	6	Licking	15	Shelby	6
Coshocton	1	Logan	4	Stark	74
Crawford	7	Lorain	58	Summit	123
Cuyahoga	431	Lucas	91	Trumbull	31
Darke	7	Madison	3	Tuscarawas	4
Defiance	3	Mahoning	83	Union	6
Delaware	46	Marion	0	Van Wert	4
Erie	26	Medina	11	Vinton	1
Fairfield	10	Meigs	0	Warren	43
Fayette	2	Mercer	0	Washington	14
Franklin	317	Miami	19	Wayne	8
Fulton	0	Monroe	1	Williams	0
Gallia	4	Montgomery	80	Wood	18
Geauga	8	Morgan	4	Wyandot	1
Greene	25	Morrow	1		
Guernsey	9	Muskingum	15	TOTAL	2,195

- TABLE 6 -
ODC OPERATIONAL EXPENSES FOR 2017-2018 BUDGET
AS OF DEC. 31, 2017

Category	2016-2017 Budget	Expenses BYTD ¹	% Budget Spent
Payroll (Salaries & Benefits)	\$ 2,491,321	\$ 1,280,516.58	51%
Operating Expenses	\$ 376,550	\$ 189,968.80	50%
Purchased Services	\$ 132,200	\$ 11,912.32	9%
Travel	\$ 75,750	\$ 19,002.43	25%
Furniture, Equipment & Vehicle	\$ 38,000	\$ 3,625.35	10%
Hospitality Hosting	\$ 2,000	\$ 195.90	10%
TOTAL	\$ 3,115,821	\$ 1,505,221.38	48%

¹ Budget Year to Date (i.e., July 1, 2017 through Dec. 31, 2017)



OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
250 Civic Center Drive, Ste. 325
Columbus, Ohio 43215-7411